CERES Data Management System

Items for Discussion - October, 1996

Introduction to CERES

Working Group Status

Release 1 Integration and Testing at LaRC DAAC

Current Release 2 Issues


Near-term Plans

Jim Kibler - J.F.Kibler@LaRC.NASA.GOV Data Management Office Atmospheric Sciences Division Langley Research Center

CERES Science Objectives


- For climate change analysis, provide a continuation of the ERBE (Earth Radiation Budget Experiment) record of radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) analyzed using the same techniques as the existing ERBE data.
- Double the accuracy of estimates of radiative fluxes at TOA and the Earth's surface.
- Provide the first long-term global estimates of the radiative fluxes within the Earth's atmosphere.
- Provide cloud property estimates which are consistent with the radiative fluxes from surface to TOA.

EARTH RADIATION BUDGET PROCESSES



CLOUDS AND THE EARTH'S RADIANT ENERGY SYSTEM (CERES) SCIENCE TEAMS


CERES Instrument - B. R. Barkstrom, PI CERES Interdisciplinary - B. A. Wielicki, PI




R. N. Green

G. L. Smith

CERES Instrument





TRMM Spacecraft




NOMINAL AXES:

- +X PARALLEL TO ORBITAL VELOCITY DIRECTION
 Y NORMAL TO ORBITAL VELOCITY DIRECTION
 +Z NADIR DIRECTION








ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES DIVISION

JoAnn Hudgins 42968	J. R. Newsom, Asst. Chief 464 C. E. Batten, Secretary 453	J. F 3486 A. V 5380 D. F 3630 J. J J. C M. T E. E R. L C. E	TA MANAGEMENT OFFICE 45386, M/S 423 CAB Fax: 48295 T. Kibler, Hd. 45386 W. Reid, Sec. 45386 R. Cahoon, Jr. 45615 C. Chapman 44833 C. Costulis 41943 C. Currey 44691 T. Ferebee 45617 G. Geier 41854 C. Huffman, Jr. 46083 E. Mackey 47464 V. Mitchum 47034 C. Shipham 45618	ERBE/SAGE II N CERES DATA M	
CHEMISTRY & DYNAMICS BR. 42698, M/S 401A CAC Fax: 47790 E. Conway, Acting 41435 D. O. Neil, Asst. Hd. 48171 T. M. Memory, Sec 42697 E. V. Browell 41273 R. J. DeYoung 41472 J. Fishman 42720 W. B. Grant 45846 N. S. Higdon 45367 S. Ismail 42719 A. M. Larar 45328 J. H. Park 45811 C. P. Rinsland 42699 M. A. H. Smith 42701 THEORETICAL STUE 45691, M/S 401B Fax: 46326 W. L. Grose, Act. Hd. W. L. Grose Asst. Hd. T. J. Reavis, Sec. W. T. Blackshear L. B. Callis, Jr. G. D. Considine L. E. Deaver R. S. Eckman M. M. Kleb J. S. Levine M. G. Mlynczak J. R. Olson R. B. Pierce E. E. Remsberg R. E. Turner J. G. Wells, Jr. HALOE	CAD 42669, M/ 5 45691 45820 45690 M. E. Kop 45815 J. M. Alva 45843 W. P. Chu 45805 G. L. Mad 45696 45822 45816 45892 D. C. Woc 45695 O. Youngl 45895 45327 45817 J. M. Zaw	Fax: 42671 ble, Act. Hd. 42669 ble, Asst. Hd. 42689 ble, Asst. Hd. 42689 ble, Asst. Hd. 42687 ble, Asst. Hd. 42689 ble, Asst. Hd. 42675 ble, Asst. Hd. 42689 ble, Asst. Hd. 42674 brason 46842 ble, Hd. 46747 ble, Asst. Hd. 46747 ble, Asst. Hd. 46747 ble, Asst. Hd. 46747 ble, Asst. Hd. 42672 ble, Asst. Hd. 42678 ble, Asst. Hd. 42689 ble, Asst. H	RADIATION SCIEN 45665, M/S 420 FAX: 47 B. A. Wielicki, Hd. A. Carlson J. M. Hubble, Sec. S. German B. R. Barkstrom B. A. Baum T. C. Bess L. H. Chambers T. P. Charlock R. N. Green D. P. Kratz R. B. Lee III P. Minnis G. L. Schuster G. L. Smith C. H. Whitlock T. Wong D. F. Young CERES SCIEN	CAF 7996 45683 47050 48333 45664 45676 45670 45686 44371 45687 45684 45669 45679 45679 45671 41486 45678 45675 45607 45607	ATMOSPHERIC STUDIES BR 45828, M/S 483 CAG Fax: 45841 J. M. Hoell, Hd. 45826 R. J. Bendura, Asst. Hd.45830 S. R. Stephenson, Sec. 45827 B. E. Anderson 45850 J. D. Barrick 45831 W. R. Cofer III 45835 V. S. Connors 45849 G. L. Gregory 45834 D. S. McDougal 45832 G. C. Purgold 45844 J. Raper 48880 J. A. Ritter 45693 A. D. Scott 44430 S. Vay 41574 H. A. Wallio 45366

CERES Data Management System Functions



	LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER	0====											ORIGINAL SCHEDULE APPROVAL 10/8/92														
	APPROVAL:J.F. Kibler	l							C	=R	ES						LEV	EJ)	LAST S	CHED	ULE CH	ANG	E	5/1	/96		
		l	Data Management System Development								0/05/00																
	ACCOMPLISHMENT					-										•			STATUS AS OF								
	•	JEM/	199		LEM	19:		NDJE		96	NDJE		997		JEM	199		ID JEI	1999 MAMJ J A			2000		LEM	200		
1	External Milestones						PFM			FM	l-1 FM						FМ	3&4			J T W AW	3 3 A			FM's		JIVD
2	ZXIONIAI INIIGOIONIGO						TRM	N		l'	$\nabla\nabla$	EG	$\int_{S} \Delta_{\rm L}$	aun	ch	AM-1		7 _{M-1}			.				M AM-2	2	
3							- 1	''' IR-1		AM-1		Cer	τ. ႃ	3/15/ 	97)	Laund	h			R-			PN Laur				
4	EOSDIS: ECS Releases/Tests					1	_	T .			R-A			7		(6/98)				_	١.				R-	7
5		4	7 🔻		1	▮♥	lacktriangle	14			_` ♠	•	R-	В						A	.	♣				Ì	
6	Science Team Meetings	_	V	-						╅		,	7	7	∇							++			\dashv		—
7	Release 1: Preliminary		*	▼			-			7	1	Y		^						1		++					
		_	7							1	<u> </u>											†					
9	Define Data Interface Requirements									\top												+					
	Define Data Products	\supset	7							1												\top		\forall	_		_
11			V	_SRR		PDR	\downarrow	-CR		OR	H											\top					
12	Release 2: TRMM & EOS Flight								AAC													\top					
	Revised Data Interface Requirements	6						De	livery													\top					
	Revise Data Products									Ž	\											\top					
15	Revise Data Management System									Ĭ			\triangle														
	ECS Release A Test With Release 1									٦,	- \(\)											\Box					
17	Release 2 Integration									T			∇					1.0				П					
18	Data Product Validation																			7		П					
19	Flight System Optimization																			$\bot \overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{$							
20	Updates:										 _TRM	N															
21	ATBD											I VI								<u></u>	ZAM-1	\Box					
22	Data Products											7	\mathbb{N}				∇			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				_	
23	Angular Directional Models											Re	leas	e <u>3</u>		R	eleas	e <u></u> 4		*=	<u> </u>	些	<u></u>			<u></u>	
24														(()R				Delivery	AAC	RMI De		AAC v for	EOS			
25	Operations and Maintenance									\perp			_		7 <u> </u>							上				=	
1										С	EY: R: Coo TBD: A				retic	al Bas	•	7 Bas	eline	SRR: : PDR:	peration Softwar Prelimir Level 1	re Re nary	quire Desi	ement gn Re	view	'S	ıs

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER						CE	RE	S			(LE)	/FI)				PPROVAL		
APPROVAL: J.F. Kibler		ata				t Sys					ent	7	LAST SC	HEDULI	E CHANG	GE	5/1/96)
ACCOMPLISHMENT————			R	elea	se 1	Inte	grat	ion a	& Te	est			STATUS	S AS OF		9/25/	96	
	Α	М	ı	-	199 A	5 S	0	N	D		F	М	ΙΔ	1 M	996 I		ΙA	S
1 External Milestones (ECS)				-	,			- 13									DAAC II	1
ECS H/W & S/W Delivery & Integration at DAAC CERES Science S/W Integration at DAAC																Les	sons Le	l il
4 Complete PDR's	-																Benchn Resul	
5 Subsystem Code Reviews			Í						∇									1
Interpolated ERBE Simulation Data											V							
7 Data Subsystems:						_			_ _3		5				6			
8 SS 1.0 Instrument 9 SS 2 0 & 3 0 FRBF-I ike	1&2	3			$\overline{\nabla}$	2		-3-4			4 5		6	7				
9 SS 2.0 & 3.0 ERBE-Like 10 SS 4.1 - 4.4 Cloud Properties	1	V		7	▼ 2			3		\vdash	- V	5		$\sqrt{}_6$				+
11 SS 4.5 & 4.6 Inversion	1 ,	2			•		,	4	3	4	5	V		V ₆ _	V-7			
12 SS 5.0 Instantaneous SARB	V 1	*	7	▼ 2		Ť				- -	3 7	4	5	V	6	,		
13 SS 6.0 Synoptic Gridding	· 🔻	1			7	- 2			73 \	4	- 1	4	3,5		T *	▼6	,7	İ
14 SS 7.1 Synoptic Interpolation	Ì	1		_	V		₹2		73 <u>4</u>	3	N	4	5		V	6	V 7_	
15 SS 7.2 Synoptic SARB	▼ 1		1	\bigvee^2			3			_ V	3	4	5					6,7
16 SS 8.0 Synoptic Averaging		1				7 - V	2		√3 \		F=	4	3,5					6,7
17 SS 9.0 SRB/TOA Gridding		1		V	2 <u> </u>			3 \	<u> </u>		4	\mathbf{V}^3	,5 		V ⁶ ⋅	7		
18 SS 10.0 SRB/TOA Averaging		1		2 3	7_			3	74	Y	3	4 V ⁵			<u> </u>	6,7		+
19 SS 11.0 Grid Geostationary		▼ 1		2 -	V				= =	4	Y	5	6		7			1
20 SS 12.0 MOA Re-gridding 21 Supporting Documentation:		V		V	<i>/</i>				<u>3</u>		4			Y '	+			
oc											Draft							Final
Architectural Design Documents Delivery Documentation										1		V		1	+			- Y :
24 R1 Lessons Learned / R2 Kick-off Review												7	R 7	1	R	2——— [
25													*	1	†	1	<u> </u>	+
Note: All Release 1 DAAC Integration a	and Te	esting A	Activiti	es hav	e bee	n comp	leted.					<u>Milestor</u> Baseline	3. Tes 4. "Th 5. De 6. Pro	st Subsystunder" (Solution Solution Sol	stems wit SCF) Inte DAAC In	h Science	Algorith	Data Sets ms

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER 6/26/96 **CERES** ORIGINAL SCHEDULE APPROVAL (LEVEL) APPROVAL:_ Release 2 Data Management System LAST SCHEDULE CHANGE_ J.F. Kibler Development 9/25/96 ACCOMPLISHMENT-STATUS AS OF 1996 1997 Α S 0 Ν D F M M 0 Ν External Milestones (ECS) Launch TRMM ECS Version HDF-EOS & EOS View ▼ Version Version 2 ECS Versions of PDPS Toolkit ECS Release A **EGS - Certification Test** CERES Science Software Integ. & Test Data Subsystems: SS 1.0 Instrument ∇_4 ∇_{5} $\sqrt[3]{4}$ Note 2 SS 2.0 & 3.0 ERBE-Like **√**5 $\sqrt{3}$ $\sqrt{4}$ SS 4.1 - 4.4 Cloud Properties ∇_4 SS 4.5 & 4.6 Inversion Note 1 ∇ 5 Note 1 $\sqrt{5}$ SS 5.0 Instantaneous SARB Note 1 **√**5 $\sqrt{}$ SS 6.0 Synoptic Gridding Note 1 ∇ 4 $\sqrt{5}$ SS 7.1 Synoptic Interpolation Note 1 ∇ 5 SS 7.2 Synoptic SARB Note 1 SS 8.0 Synoptic Averaging ∇_2 Note 1 SS 9.0 SRB/TOA Gridding Note 1 SS 10.0 SRB/TOA Averaging ∇_4 ∇_5 SS 11.0 Grid Geostationary SS 12.0 MOA Re-Gridding **CERESlib** Supporting Documentation: **Delivery Documention** Operation / User Documents

Note 1: All data products which are dependent upon the definition of SSF have been delayed until changes identified at the September Science Team Meeting are finalized.

Note 2: Continuing modifications to ECS HDF and metadata interfaces are delaying the definition of all of our data products.

- 1. Data Product Catalog Itemization
- 2. HDF Implementation/CERESIib I/O Module Delivery
- Algorithm Freeze Date
- 4. Working Group Acceptance/Verification
- 5. DAAC Delivery Date

External Interfaces and Mission Operations

Responsible for:

- Negotiations with GSFC, EOS, and TRMM Projects
- Coordination with Langley CERES Project Office and TRW
- TRMM & EOS Instrument monitoring, real time displays, instrument health & status
- Software to distribute/analyze H/K data from TRMM IST to LaRC workstations

TRMM:


- Prototype software for analyzing TRMM snap files is being finalized
- Received test snap files from the TRMM MOC via ftp.
- Working with MOC to finalize process for LaRC to receive planning aid products.
- Preparing to move ISW to bldg. 1250
- Comments on Ops Agreement between the TRMM FOT and the LaRC CERES Instrument Team were sent to GSFC.

EOS-AM:

- Participated in EOS AM Instrument Operations Workshop in April at Lockheed-Martin
- Revision A of the EOS-AM to CERES Operations ICD is under LaRC review

- Participate in TRMM I&T test to be held September 10-12
- Participate in TRMM Mission Simulation to be held October 7-9.
- Meet with LaRC personnel and receive feedback on TRMM snap file analysis prototype.
- Continue to work flight operations details with TRMM and EOS-AM personnel

LaRC CERES Monitoring and Operations System for TRMM



CERES Instrument Simulator


Flight processor simulation for validation of re-programming and in-flight anomaly investigation

- Simulator CERES / TRW cards now being integrated into enclosure
- SRAM and EPROM memory adapters checked
- Digital I/O & Digital I/F, ICP, DAP & SC I/F interface cards integrated into wiring harness
- TRW / GSE software installed on new Pentium
 - Housekeeping and science data displays
 - Matlab / Simulink linear & non-linear models ready

Current Status:

- TRW flight code resides in 27C64 EPROMs with good checksums & ready for installation
- Host-PC software: Developing rate & position I/O driver to link Matlab / Simulink models
- Host-PC cards: I/O cards form Matlab/Simulink I/O link to CERES processor
- TRW circuit cards from Cirtech: Integrated on trays in main enclosure with wiring harness
- 1553 interface: BCU software being adapted for PC uplink to spacecraft interface card

- Functional checking of cards and commercial components in enclosure
- Connect harness & card power leads to power supplies
- Connect terminal strips with miscellaneous components to cards
- Insert chips into blank sockets
- Boot system and look for signs of intelligent life



Science Software Development and Testing

Requirements - Specify what we have to build

- ATBD's Define science algorithm theoretical basis
- Data Product Catalog Content of each archival and intermediate data product
- SRD's Software Requirements Document More specifics on input/process/output

Design/Implementation - Example products:

- Data flow diagrams describe relationships between major processes and data products
- Context diagrams specify control flow and data flow interactions
- Structure charts functional decomposition at successive levels of detail
- Code implements ideas expressed in all of the above


Integration/Testing - Put it together and see if it works

- Initial development and testing on Sun and SGI workstations
- First migration to SCF SGI server set up similar to DAAC environment
- Second migration from SCF to DAAC as a formal delivery
- Perform production-level stress test and full-month volume test at DAAC

Testing scenario

- Using October, 1986 as the month for focusing test results
- ERBE scanner on NOAA 9 simulates CERES data
- AVHRR on NOAA 9 simulates TRMM VIRS imager for cloud properties
- Simulate ancillary data products from research and production sources:
 - ISCCP B3 geostationary vis/IR Pinker (U. Md) and Stowe (NOAA) aerosol
 - SAGE water vapor/TOMS ozone Navy 10 min elevation, land/water, terrain type maps
 - NMC gridded temp/humidity EPA 10 min ecosystem/surface map

CERES Top Level Data Flow Diagram



Working Group: Instrument

Responsible for:

• Subsystem 1 (Instrument Geolocate and Calibrate Earth Radiances)

Data Products:


- BDS (Bi-Directional Scan)
- IES (Instrument Earth Scan)

Current Status:

- Release 2 system architecture defined; development in process
- Verification of geolocation functions underway
- Level 0 data from TRMM Sensor Data Processing Facility (SDPF) processed and evaluated

- Complete verification of geolocation functions for all instrument modes/configurations
- Continue processing and analysis of new SDPF data from TRMM mission simulations
- Complete implementation of Release 2 requirements into system

CERES Simulated (Interpolated ERBE) Data for Total Filtered Radiance - Hour 00 10/1/86



TRMM Sensor Data Processing Facility Tests


A series of end-to-end mission simulations and tests run by GSFC TRMM/EOSDIS projects.

In May, 1996, one such test resulted in the first real CERES instrument data flow:

- Commands sent to instrument from TRMM operations center
- Instrument operated within the contamination covers (not radiometrically useful)
- Data packets collected by spacecraft and transmitted to ground station
- Level 0 processing completed at GSFC and results transmitted to LaRC DAAC
- Instrument subsystem processed data on Science Computing Facilities
 - Used toolkit to retrieve simulated satellite location and attitude
 - Clarified packet definitions and geolocation calculations
 - Produced BDS and IES data products

This successful test demonstrates many of the processing steps which must be operational for the TRMM launch!

SDPF Level 0 Footprint(Colatitude and Longitude) Data- Hour 14



Working Group: ERBE-like

Responsible for:

- Subsystem 2 (ERBE-like Inversion to Instantaneous TOA Fluxes)
- Subsystem 3 (ERBE-like Averaging to Monthly TOA Fluxes)

Data Products:

- ES-8 (Equivalent to ERBE Instantaneous TOA Estimates)
- ES-9 (Monthly Averaged Regional Parameters)
- ES-4, ES-4G (Monthly Averaged Regional, Zonal, Global Parameters by region and gridded)
- Scene ID Ancillary Input Data, Spectral Correction Ancillary Input Data
- Solar Declination values for each year, Albedo Directional Model values

Current Status:


- Release 1 Software, supporting data files, and Test Plan were delivered to DAAC (2/15/96).
- Testing small (~1000 records) input data set from the Instrument Subsystem.
- Updated Appendices for ATBDs.

- Prepare ERBE-like Reference Manual.
- Continue testing of new ERBE ADM's.
- Continue analysis of NOAA-9 and NOAA-10 calibration for potential reprocessing.
- Develop operational scenario for and evaluate the feasibility of reprocessing the ERBE scanner data using CERES ERBE-like code.

Start Time: 10/01/86


00:00:00






Longwave Radiation from ERBE TSA Processing

NOAA-9 October 1986



Longwave Radiation from CERES ERBE-like Processing





Working Group: Clouds

Responsible for:

- Subsystem 4.1 4.3 (Clear/Cloud Detection, Cloud Layers, Optical Properties)
- Subsystem 4.4 (Convolution with CERES Footprint)

Data Products:

- SURFMAP (Surface Map and Properties)
- VIRS & MODIS & AVHRR (Cloud Imager Data)
- CRH (Clear Reflectance/Temperature History)
- CookieDough, CloudVis, CV_Subset, FOOTPRINTVal
- Intermediate SSF (Single Satellite Footprint Cloud Properties)

Current Status:

- F90 Production code running on SCF SGI in 64-bit mode, Irix 6.2
- All Release 1 Science Algorithms Integrated:

CERES cloud mask - Welch, Baum Aerosol optical depth - Stowe


Al cloud classification - Baum Water droplet cloud properties - Platnick Cloud layers - Coakley Microphysical and optical properties - Minnis

Surface properties - Rutan

- Producing hourly data products for October 1986
- Subsystem 4.4 testing square-footprint Release 2 algorithms

- Release 2 delivery
- Support NASA Pathfinder Program Global layered cloud systems to further develop CERES cloud retrieval algorithms.

Application of threshold-based sunglint algorithm on AVHRR GAC data
October 1, 1986 at 5 UTC



Working Group: Inversion and Surface Estimation

Responsible for:

- Subsystem 4.5 (CERES Inversion to Instantaneous TOA Fluxes)
- Subsystem 4.6 (Estimate Longwave and Shortwave Surface Radiation Budget)


Data Product:

Archival SSF (Single Satellite Footprint, TOA and Surface Flux, Clouds)

Current Status:

- Release 1 complete
- Release 2 SSF data product defined
- Conversion to Release 2 software started
- Initial hourly and daily QC reports for subsystem 4.5 available
- IDL software to visualize subsystem 4.5 parameters available

- Finish converting software to Release 2
- Evaluate impact of ECS Release A on subsystem



Working Group: SARB - Surface and Atmospheric Radiation Budget

Responsible for:

- Subsystem 5 (Compute Surface and Atmospheric Fluxes)
- Subsystem 7.2 (Synoptic Flux Computation)
- Subsystem 12 (Regrid Humidity and Temperature Fields (NCEP))


Data Products:

- CRS (Single Satellite Footprint, and Radiative Fluxes and Clouds)
- SYN (Synoptic Radiative Fluxes and Clouds)
- MOA (Meteorological, Ozone, and Aerosol)
- MWH, APD, GAP, OPD External Ancillary Data Inputs

Current Status:

- All subsystems were delivered to the Langley DAAC on time
- Architectual Design Documents completed and posted to the web
- Developing a new version of the Regrid MOA Subsystem to use the DAO meteorological data, and to regrid the aerosol and ozone data to the same grid as the DAO data
- Incorporating Release 2 changes into Subsystems 5 and 7.2
- Updating Data Product listings for the MOA, CRS, and SYN
- Conducting timing studies on Subsystem 5 in an effort to decrease processing time

- Complete version of Subsystem 12 that uses the DAO meteorological data.
- Continue incorporating Release 2 changes into Subsystems 5 and 7.2



SARB TIMING TESTS - 1000 RECORDS

Working Group: TISA - Time Interpolation and Spatial Averaging

Responsible for:

- Subsystem 6 (Hourly Gridded Single Satellite Fluxes and Clouds)
- Subsystem 7.1 (Time Interpolation for Single and Multiple Satellites)
- Subsystem 8 (Compute Regional, Zonal and Global Averages)
- Subsystem 9 (Grid TOA and Surface Fluxes)
- Subsystem 10 (Compute Monthly and Regional TOA and SRB Averages)
- Subsystem 11 (Grid Geostationary Narrowband Radiances)

Data Products:

- FSW Hourly Gridded Single Satellite Fluxes and Clouds (Subsystem 6)
- SYN Synoptic Radiative Fluxes and Clouds (Subsystem 7)
- AVG, ZAVG Monthly Regional, Zonal and Global Radiative Fluxes and Clouds (Subsystem 8)
- SFC Hourly Gridded Single Satellite TOA and Surface Fluxes (Subsystem 9)
- SRBAVG Monthly Regional TOA and SRB Averages (Subsystem 10)
- GGEO Ancillary Data Product: Gridded Geostationary NB Data (Subsystem 11)


Current Status:

- Release 1 DAAC testing completed
- Completed Architectural Design Documents; Updated Data Product Appendices for ATBD's.
- Designing HDF-EOS archival products in 1 degree equal angle grid
- Redesigning software code for 'nested grid' technique
- Redesigning software code to incorporate 'B1 contributed software', for 4 Geostationary Satellites: GOES-8, GOES-9, GMS, METEOSAT, into Grid Geostationary Narrowband Radiances, Subsystem 11.

- Modify Quality Control Reports for all subsystems to reflect changes in Data Products
- Develop validation tools
- Add hour overlap logic
- Continue Release 2 objectives: HDF-EOS, Metadata, product headers, code refinements and corrections to Release 1, finalize data products, and documentation
- NEED: 'footprint smoothing' and 'weighted-column-cloud properties' algorithms for Gridding (SS6 and SS9)

Longwave Radiation from CERES TISA Processing

NOAA-9 October 1986



Impact of Grid Change on TISA Products

	Product		1.25 deg Equa	al Area	1.00 deg. Equal Angle				
Subsystem	Name	files/mo	size/file,MB	size/mo,MB	files/mo	size/file,MB	size/mo,MB		
6	FSW	144	~39	6,210	180	~69	12,512		
7	SYN	248	66	16,368	248	203	34,943		
8	AVG/ZAVG	2	733	733	2	1,233	1,233		
9	SFC	144	~19	2,736	180	~38	6,847		
10	SRBAVG	1	1,129	1,129	1	2,367	2,367		
11	GGEO	1	524	524	1	816	816		
Total				27,700			58,718		


- Change is approximately 5% increase for all CERES archival products.
- HDF-EOS versions will be larger
- Minor changes to content still underway

Largest Processing PGE: TISA Subsystem 7.1

Data Set Name	1.25 deg =area	1 deg =angle
Post-MOA	14.383GB	6.560GB
GGEO	.341GB	.834GB
FSW	6.211GB	15.238GB
TSI	13.466GB	22.930GB
TSI sec.index	.026GB	.064GB
TOTAL File Size	34.427GB	45.626GB

Note: Parameters have changed between the two sets of products

SCIENCE COMPUTING FACILITY OVERVIEW



Facility Task Lead - C. E. Mackey

Science Computing Facilities

- Development and testing server configuration
 - SGI Power Challenge XL configured to match DAAC as closely as possible
 - 8 R10000 MIPS processors (upgraded from R8000's 4 more on order)
 - 2 Gigabytes of memory (2 GB additional on order)
 - Configured 100GB of local disk space
 - Upgraded to IRIX 6.2
 - Installed SGI & NAG Fortran 90
 - Installed 32-bit and 64-bit SDP Toolkit 5.1
 - Installed Rational Verdix Ada
 - 114GB optical jukebox configured (plan upgrade from 1.3GB to 2.6GB per platter)
 - Accessing Distributed Mass Storage System (over 5 TB of available storage) through high speed FIDDI connection
- Development and analysis workstation configuration
 - Sun Workstation OS upgrades from SunOS 4.1.3 to Solaris 2.5 underway
 - SGI Workstation OS upgrades from IRIX 5.3 to IRIX 6.2 underway
 - Moving from Framemaker version 4 to version 5 for documentation
 - Onsite/Offsite network upgrades planned to add a dedicated 10Mbps to each workstation and a dedicated 100Mbps network connection to each server (improved links to DAAC)
 - 4 Sparc IPC and Sparc 1+ workstations upgraded to 150Mhz 64bit Sun Ultra Sparcs
 - 2 Sun 330MP servers upgraded to 64 bit Sun Ultra servers
 - Majority of our SUN Sparc 2 workstations will be upgraded to SGIs or UltraSparcs
 - Configured three tape stackers to back up all workstations

CERES System Engineering Committee

Established May, 1996

Charter: Coordinate solutions to issues which cross working group boundaries

Members: Maria Mitchum (DMO), Sandy Nolan (SAIC), Jill Travers (DAAC)

Items Resolved:

- Coordinated Release 2 Software Development Schedules for entire system
- Organized Release 2 Configuration Management DAAC delivery schedule
- Determined Release 2 Development Milestones and Timeline Chart
- Standardized Quality Control Report format

Current Items:

- Review Science Software Integration and Test Procedures Document
 - Agreement between LaRC DAAC and the CERES Instrument Team
 - Need detail description of DAAC 'deliverables' and required documentation
- Organize DAAC interface guidelines for scripts, environment variables, makefiles
- Determine naming conventions for PGE's and data files
- Standardize Process Control File product logical id's and file headers
- Determine production rules and run time parameters
- Obtain clear understanding of EOSDIS requirements for ESDT's and metadata

Validation and Visualization Aids

Develop tools for visualizing CERES data products to assist software development and support production processing and validation - understand the data and identify discrepancies

Features:


- INTERACTIVE visualization & analysis
- Visualize CERES point, swath, and gridded data
- 2D strip charts for quick look of 'raw' footprint data
- Global map projections (Hammer-Aitoff, Cylindrical Equidistant, Spherical ...)
- Isosurface, animation, cutting planes, time varying, contours ...
- IBM Data Explorer tools run on Sun and SGI platforms

Tools & Current Status:


- Developed FAST swath & gridded visualization modules
- Developed IBM Data Explorer point, swath, and grid visualization programs
- Developed interactive OpenGL multi-channel strip chart program
- Developed GUI Data Selector for Release 1 IES, SSF, and CRS data
- IBM Data Explorer interactive view of 24 hrs. of HDF-EOS S-8 data (~ 1.4 M points)

Near Term Development:

- Develop IBM Data Explorer Gridded Cloud visualizer
- Develop DX I/O Modules to support HDF-EOS point, swath, and gridded data



ERBE S-8 Converted to HDF-EOS by Hughes and displayed using Data Explorer



PGE Size as Delivered to LaRC DAAC - 9/96

			Delivery Date		Data	, MB			
Working Group	SS	PGE		Code	Scripts	SMF/ PCF	Misc	Input	Out- put
Instrument	1.0	Instrument	2/16/96	2333040	17459	79149	6062707	.39	3.5
ERBE-like	2.0 & 3.0	Daily and Monthly	2/15/96	1385374	93015	12082	40510	82	632
Clouds	4.1 4.4	Cloud Retrieval Footprint Convolution	3/07/96 3/07/96	2301391 354974	24892 988	14215 14234	0 4594	273 617	115 43
Inversion	4.5	TOA/Surface Fluxes	3/06/96	137563	2123	4445	0	84	42
SARB	5.0 7.2 12.0	Instantaneous SARB Synoptic SARB MOA Regridding	3/21/96 3/28/96 3/01/96	405208 413415 296283	1417 1644 4049	15173 18171 22497	29125 29752 22923	47 76 12	2 66 986
TISA	6.0/6.1 7.1/8/10 7.1/10 9.0/9.1 11.0/ 11.1	Atmospheric Gridding Regional & Synoptic Avg Postprocess MOA Surface Gridding Grid Geostationary	3/21/96 3/14/96 3/14/96 3/14/96 3/05/96	(ss9) 699674 46121 475224 217806	(ss9) 2105 574 3828 1120	22241 51072 12136 21204 24776	0 337 540 0 0	37 679 986 26 705	12 1161 464 6 452
System		CERESlib & Utilities	2/26/96	739723	0	8061	2694	0	0
	9805696	153214	319456	6193182	3624	3984			

Estimated Size of I/O and Archival Products (TRMM) - 9/96

Working Group	Subsystem	I/O Per Run, MB	Archive Per Run, MB	Runs per Month	Archive per Mo., MB	6/95 Est., MB
Instrument	1.0 Geolocate and Calibration	1741	711	31	22039	19437
ERBE-Like	2.0 Inversion to TOA 3.0 Averaging to Monthly TOA	1062 956	351 543	31 1	10881 543	8550 574
Clouds	4.1 - 4.3 Cloud Property Retrieval 4.3.1 - Update CRH	1183 1219	91	744 3	273	10416
Inversion	4.4 Footprint Convolution 4.5 - 4.6 TOA and SRB Estimation	882 507	238	744 744	176774	241056
SARB	5.0 Surface and Atmospheric Fluxes 7.2 Synoptic Flux Computation 12.0 Regrid MOA Fields	341 3126 306	48 145 277	744 248 31	35719 36017 8593	326616 16368 22320
TISA	6.0 Hourly Fluxes and Clouds, Gridding 6.1 Hourly Fluxes and Clouds, Region Sort 7.1 Single/Mult Satellite Time Interpolation 8.0 Regional, Zonal and Global Averages 9.0 TOA and Surface Fluxes, Gridding 9.1 TOA and Surface Fluxes, Region Sort 10.0 Monthly and Regional TOA and SRB 11.0 Grid Geostationary NB Radiances 12.1 Post-process MOA	302 25024 43550 32760 247 13694 16752 8416 15278	12512 1234 6847 2367 816	744 1 1 1 744 1 1 1	12512 1234 6847 2367 816	6210 13392 733 3125 1129 524
	Total	4816	314615	670450		

Estimate assumes that CRS output from 5.0 is reduced to just the SARB-unique parameters.

CERES Release 1 Testing in LaRC DAAC IR-1 Environment: 2/96 - 9/96

Tested Code: 19 separate PGE's as delivered (and re-delivered) to DAAC starting 2/15/96, representing engineering versions of operational code. Not all functionality needed for TRMM launch is included.

Tested Data: ERBE data interpolated to CERES sampling rates and scan pattern, TRMM volume.

IR-1 Test Environment: All tests run by DAAC personnel on Science Processor (SGI Challenge XL). No attempt to run in a single-user dedicated mode, but the system was lightly loaded.

Operating System: IRIX64 6.1

Compilers: NAG F90 v2.1 -O optimization, SGI C v6.1 -O optimization, Rational Ada v623.53.3 default optimization

Libraries: HDF v3.3r4, HDF v4.0r1, SDP DAAC Toolkit v5.0

Hardware:

Processor 0: 75 MHZ IP21 (90Mhz processors also installed but they apparently run only at 75 Mhz if mixed)

CPU: MIPS R8000 Processor Chip Revision: 2.2 FPU: MIPS R8010 Floating Point Chip Revision: 0.1

Data cache size: 16 Kbytes Instruction cache size: 16 Kbytes

Secondary unified instruction/data cache size: 4 Mbytes Main memory size: 1024 Mbytes, 4-way interleaved

I/O board, Ebus slot 15: IO4 revision 1

Integral EPC serial ports: 4

Integral Ethernet controller: et0, Ebus slot 15

FDDIXPress controller: ipg0, version 1

EPC external interrupts

Integral SCSI controller 1: Version WD33C95A, differential, revision 0

Disk drive: unit 1 on SCSI controller 1

Integral SCSI controller 0: Version WD33C95A, single ended, revision 0

Integral SCSI controller 4: Version SCIP/WD33C95A, differential Integral SCSI controller 3: Version SCIP/WD33C95A, differential

Disk drive: unit 1, lun 3 on SCSI controller 3 Disk drive: unit 1, lun 2 on SCSI controller 3 Disk drive: unit 1, lun 1 on SCSI controller 3

Disk drive: unit 1 on SCSI controller 3

CERES Release 1 DAAC Performance Measurements - 10/96

One execution on IR-1 configuration of each PGE at production-level volume expected for TRMM launch.

SS	PGE	Test		Time,sec		Block Op	Block Operations Peak Memory		= 1011 0 1010 9 0, 111=					Runs
33	FGE	Date	Wall	User	System	Input	Output	MB	Input	Temp	Interm	Arch	Logs	per Mnth
1.0	Instrument	6/05	50157	36718	2825	42258	21206	40.5	92	0	809	760	7.500	31
2.0 3.0	Daily TOA Inversion Monthly Averaging	4/19 5/02	691 2777	298 1274	35 685	3398 6694	750 13033	3.0 14.7	197 399	197 410	13 0	338 164	.023 2.200	31 1
4.1 4.4	Cloud Retrieval Footprint Convolution	5/02 5/07	10824 12361	9069 11945	1179 134	1489 14435	103 17	232.6 10.2	205 644	0	746 246	0	.020 .014	744 744
4.5	TOA/Surface Fluxes	5/07	357	129	93	2521	115	1.8	287	0	0	246	.008	744
5.0 7.2 12.0	Instantaneous SARB Synoptic SARB MOA Regridding	5/09 9/06 4/25	327869 51537 2166	290347 43221 1799	31873 3847 161	9810 25638 80	67 5 2922	1.5 2.6 45.2	294 1813 12	0 0 0	0 26 0	350 69 986	.001 .001 .011	744 248 31
11.0 11.1 9.0 9.1 12.1 10.0 6.0 6.1 7.1 8.0	Grid Geostationary Sort GGEO Surface Gridding Sort SFC Files Post-process MOA TOA/SRB Averaging Atmos. Gridding Sort FSW Files Synoptic Interpolate Synoptic Averaging	5/25 6/02 6/05 7/25 7/24 7/26 6/14 7/26 7/31 9/10	7238 40676 9504 3039 27526 22125 9541 3113 20053 5302	6913 666 9085 962 1204 12122 9149 102111 11527 3588	206 4556 159 1930 9066 2781 150 1807 3374 1688	1710 44921 5808 137126 3650975 1036593 8190 266696 847012 261901	18 4706 395 754 30 5 494 757 2	12.6 1.0 160.3 226.4 2.4 144.3 156.0 233.5 39.5 304.8	105 410 246 4328 30574 19045 350 8541 23263 17159	0 0 0 4322 0 0 0 8539 0	72 0 7 0 14383 0 13 0 13492	0 341 0 4322 0 1183 0 8539 0 665	.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001	6 1 744 1 1 1 744 1
System Total		291 E6	258 E6	27 E6	46 E6	1.7 E6	304.0	2071G	19G	813G	540G	269	4819	

System total: multiply each PGE measure by the number of Runs per Data Month for that PGE, then add all PGE's. Some PGE's will require more resources for each instrument on EOS-AM and EOS-PM.

- SS 4.1 timing increased by 25% to account for processing nighttime data which is not done in this release.
- SS 5 resources scaled from partial test of 45,531 footprints processed out of 186,137 footprints expected.
- SS 7.2 resources scaled from test where only 2476 regions out of 26410 regions had processable data.

Items Which Affect Future CERES Performance Estimates

Preliminary testing of SGI Fortran 90 V6.2 compiler on SCF SGI Challenge XL(IRIX64 6.2):

- SS 4.4 runs about 2 to 2.5 times faster than with NAG F90
- SS 5.0 runs about 3 times faster than with NAG F90

For unknown reasons (perhaps RAID disks?), DAAC IR-1 runs about twice as fast as SCF which is usually fully loaded.

Release 1 code uses a 1.25 degree equal-area grid. This code is being modified to use the EOS 1 degree equal-angle modelling grid to avoid large re-gridding errors. All resource and sizing measurements for SS 6 through 12 should be multiplied by approximately 2.5

Very little effort has been spent optimizing any of the subsystems. We are still figuring out how to do the job at all, then we'll worry about doing it faster.

Performance optimizations are likely to be at least partially offset by completion of the science algorithms. Some mission-essential functions are not tested in Release 1. Examples:


- Only the normal Earth-scanning mode is processed in Instrument. Calibrations and other operational modes such as rotating azimuth and short scan must be implemented in Release 2.
- Only daytime cloud retrievals are performed. Night-time algorithms must be completed.
- Monthly interpolation of cloud properties is not included. Shift from ISCCP B3 to B1 ancillary data sets will increase product volume and processing time.

SCF is upgrading from R8000 to R10000 CPU chips at factor of 2 to 3 speed improvement (requires IRIX64 V6.2)

Convert these measurements to megaflops at your own risk!

Langley Release A Science Processor Configuration

"A.1/B Delivery" (1Q 1997)



Can we run TRMM on the DAAC?

- Our Release 1 testing showed 289,496,000 seconds of wall clock time to run a month.
- Or, 108 months to run a month's worth of data!
- However, we pick up about a factor of 2.5 going to SGI compiler and another factor of about 2.5 going to R10000 chips instead of R8000 chips.
- This reduces the time to about 17 months to run a month's worth of data.
- If we assume that 20 CPU chips are available at TRMM launch, then we can run a month of data in about 0.9 month Hooray!
- Conclusion: we are within hailing distance, but,
 - Need Release 2 algorithms => CPU time will go up
 - Need optimization of codes to allow margins for down time and reprocessing
 - ECS at GSFC is studying SARB now. Others will follow.

System-Wide Release 2 Issues

Produce all archival data products in Hierarchical Data Format (HDF-EOS)

Finalize required metadata for every data product

Use mandatory SDP Toolkit calls and test new Toolkit releases

Produce realistic measurements of computer system resource requirements

Define and implement QC reports: statistical summaries output from each PGE to convince us things are working or identify problems

Update all documentation and expand as needed

Revise Release 2 DAAC delivery procedures to streamline the process.

Use Release 1 software to verify EOSDIS Release A prior to our Release 2 deliveries

Concern: Evolving EOSDIS production rules, metadata, hardware and software environment causes uncertainty in target production system and may have large impacts on our delivered software.

Release 2 Issues for Each Working Group

Instrument:

- Planned Release 2 functions:
 - Solar calibration processing
 - Diagnostic packet processing
- Add coastline detection for geolocation validation
- Unplanned to account for instrument anomalies:
 - 'Second time constant' unfiltering
 - Azimuth and elevation beam misalignment corrections

ERBE-Like:

- Final CERES spectral correction coefficients
- New ERBE ADMs
- Calibration problems for both NOAA 9 and NOAA 10 (ERBE Reprocessing)

Clouds:

- Generate read routines for all input Release 2 Data Sets test with simulations
 - VIRS, MODIS, other ancillary data sets
- Use Toolkit functionality where necessary, convenient, or required
- Update current science algorithms with new releases
- Add new science algorithms with input and output interfaces
- Update:
 - Data Product Catalog
 - Interface Requirements Document for external ancillary data
 - Design Documents and User's Guides

Release 2 Issues for Each Working Group

Inversion:

- Use final CERES spectral correction coefficients
- Update LW surface estimation algorithms as needed
- Incorporate Release 2 SSF data product definition changes
- Identify and incorporate changes driven by ECS Release A

SARB:

- Use the Fu-Liou model with revised correlated-k distributions to simulate the 8-12 micron window flux. (Operational)
- As strongly suggested by the Science Team, develop an algorithm that tunes the atmospheric fluxes to the estimated surface flux. (Operational)
- Develop a simulation of imager radiances (VIRS, MODIS, AVHRR). This is a research product for validation and quality control.
- Subsystem 12.0: The only expected changes for MOA are directly related to any changes in the input products. (likely an ongoing problem...)

TISA:

- Add validation requests from Science Team
- Add hour overlap logic
- Change Geostationary data from B3 to B1
- Need: 'footprint smoothing' and 'cubic spline' flux averaging algorithms
- Need: Special averaging for 'weighted-column-averaged-cloud' properties

Near-Term Plans

- Mission simulation tests with live CERES data from TRMM
- Pin down ATBD-2 changes and publish Data Products Catalog
- Continue optimization of CPU-intensive subsystems
- Design, coding and testing of Release 2 changes
- Revise delivery schedules to support ECS and TRMM launch slips

Issues for Discussion - 3/96

Status as of 10/96

What is status of AM-1 deep-space calibration maneuver?

Still no committment to perform the maneuver?

Will the TRMM IST's be allowed "dual connection" on MODNET/NOLAN?

Work-arounds for security concerns

- IR-1 has insufficient disk space for CERES test of a full month of data products (>670 GB)
 Alternatives:
 - Allow FDDI connection to Langley mass storage system CERES buys the card
 - ECS purchase more disk or staging storage

Successfully used FDDI connection to mass store for Release 1 testing - approx 1TB of data.

• EOS View (HDF data product viewer) crashes on our IES & SSF test HDF files. Is there a 1MB limit on size of HDF files? Help!

See next set of issues

Issues for Discussion - 10/96

EOSView (Version 1.9 beta)

- Program sporadically terminates with a core dump
- Metadata display extremely difficult to read
- Display of data in tabular format is incomplete
- Start, Stride, and Edge options, for displaying slices of data in tabular format, don't work
- Image of Swath data is partially displayed
- Image of large Swath data sets cannot be displayed
- Unable to display HDF-EOS Point data

HDF-EOS (Version 1.0)

- Non-gridded CERES products do not map logically to the HDF-EOS Swath structure
- HDF-EOS Point Application Programming Interface (API) contains bugs
- There are no Fortran examples provided to test HDF-EOS Point API
- Several CERES gridded products will contain significant amounts of unused space if designed using the HDF-EOS Grid API
- CERES has received conflicting guidance from ECS on how to store zonal band and global data in a granule that also contains regional gridded data

Metadata

- It appears that metadata strategy has been changing faster than the availability of the documentation
- The most current metadata documentation will not be available until the next interim release of the Toolkit

Issues for Discussion - 10/96, continued

PCF design

- Process Control Files contain 'run-time parameters' which we are told must be static per PGE (one might ask why they are called run-time)
- Instead, we control which satellite and which instrument is being processed by having a seperate PCF file and therefore a separate PGE for each satellite/instrument combination - leading to five sets of such beasts for TRMM, AM-fore, AM-aft, PM-fore, PM-aft
- Multiple PGE's do not address other parameters such as data date which must be supplied to the PGE.

File naming convention

- Current convention is ESDT Short Name + Date/Time?
- How do we name output files from single PGE with same date and time span over multiple zones?
- Date/Time format is MMDDYYHHMMSS? What happens to file sorting order with this convention? What happens in 2000?
- How do we handle different versions of the same files?